IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Patrick T. Jouppi et al.

Examiner: Gary E. Elkins

Serial No.:

10/656,844

Group Art Unit: 3727

Filed:

September 5, 2003

Docket No.: 6280US (G180.151.101)

Due Date:

May 8, 2005

Title:

RECLOSEABLE CARTON

CERTIFICATE OF TELEFACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 ATTN: Examiner Elkins Fax No.: (703) 872-9306

Sir:

I certify that the following papers are being transmitted via telefacsimile and addressed to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below:

1. Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pgs.).

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick T. Jouppi et al.,

By their attorneys,

DICKE, BILLIG & CZAJA, PLLC Fifth Street Towers, Suite 2250 100 South Fifth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 573-2004 Facsimile: (612) 573-2005

Date: MAY 9, 2005

TAC: jmc

Ву

Timothy A

3 PAGES – INCLUDING COVER PAGE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAY 0 9 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Patrick T. Jouppi et al.

Examiner: Gary E. Elkins

Serial No.:

10/656,844

Group Art Unit: 3727

Filed:

September 5, 2003

Docket No.: 6280US (G180.151.101)

Due Date:

May 8, 2005

Title:

RECLOSEABLE CARTON

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is responsive to the Office Action mailed April 8, 2005, in which a Restriction Requirement was set forth relative to the Examiner-identified inventions of Group I (claims 1-27) and Group II (claim 28). In response, Applicant hereby elects Group I (claims 1-27) with traverse for at least the reasons provided below.

The Examiner contends that the product as claimed (Group I) can be made by another and materially different process (Group II). However, due to the existence of many similar claim limitations, it is respectfully submitted that no undue burden exists in examining both Groups I and II. As required by the MPEP, if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must be examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions (MPEP §803). Thus, it is respectfully requested that the Restriction Requirement be withdrawn and all claims examined.

Any inquiry regarding this Amendment and Response should be directed to either John A. O'Toole at Telephone No. (763) 764-2422, Facsimile No. (763) 764-2268 or Timothy A. Czaja at Telephone No. (612) 573-2004, Facsimile No. (612) 573-2005. In addition, all correspondence should continue to be directed to the following address:

Amendment and Response Applicant: Patrick T. Jouppi et al.

Serial No.: 10/656,844 Filed: September 5, 2003

Docket No.: 6280US (G180.151.101) Title: RECLOSEABLE CARTON

John A. O'Toole
GENERAL MILLS INC.
P.O. Box 1113
Minneapolis, MN 55440

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick T. Jouppi et al.,

By their attorneys,

DICKE, BILLIG & CZAJA, PLLC Fifth Street Towers, Suite 2250 100 South Fifth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 573-2004 Facsimile: (612) 573-2005

Date: MA

I mothy A. Gzaja

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8:

The undersigned hereby certifies that this paper or papers, as described herein, are being transmitted via telefacsimile to Examiner Elkins, Group Art Unit 3727, at Fax No. (703) 872-9300 on this ______ day of May, 2005.

By /

Name: (Timothy A